# Hydrogen–Deuterium Equilibration over Transition Metal Sulfide Catalysts: On the Synergetic Effect in CoMo Catalysts

E. J. M. Hensen, G. M. H. J. Lardinois, V. H. J. de Beer, J. A. R. van Veen, and R. A. van Santen

*Schuit Institute of Catalysis, Eindhoven University of Technology, P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands*

Received February 2, 1999; revised May 19, 1999; accepted June 6, 1999

**H2–D2 equilibration was used to study the synergetic effect between Co and Mo in carbon-supported CoMo sulfide catalysts. The reaction was carried out in a recirculation apparatus with continuous on-line analysis of all gas-phase components (H2, D2, HD, H2S, D2S, and HDS) by a mass spectrometer. The low reaction temperature (423 K) allowed for the first time a comparison to be made of the catalytic activity between Co sulfide particles in low-temperature sulfided (373 K) carbon-supported Co and those in standard sulfided (673 K) carbon-supported CoMo, which have similar 57Co MES parameters. On the basis of the much higher activity of the bimetallic catalyst than of the monometallic catalysts (Co and Mo sulfide) it is concluded that the observed synergy is related neither to the effect of the high dispersion of the Co sulfide nor seemingly to spillover effects as put forward by the remote control model.** The results indicate that the sulfur atoms that bridge between  $Co^{2+}$ **and Mo4**<sup>+</sup> **play a crucial role during the catalytic cycle. During the equilibration reaction also extensive exchange takes place between hydrogen (deuterium) atoms adsorbed on the sulfided phase and hydrogen atoms present at the carbon support surface. This** spillover process is accelerated by admixture of H<sub>2</sub>S, which most **probably leads to an increase of terminal SH groups by dissociative H2S adsorption. These SH groups are thought to form hydrogen bridges with the carbon support functional groups. Both H2** and H<sub>2</sub>S compete for adsorption on the same coordinatively un**saturated sites. While heterolytic dissociation of H2 is considered to lead to a hydride and a bridging SH group between Co and Mo, heterolytic dissociation of H2S would lead to the formation of two SH groups, one bridging SH group and one terminal SH group active in forming hydrogen bridges with the support surface functional groups.** °<sup>c</sup> **1999 Academic Press**

*Key Words:* **hydrotreatment; sulfide catalysts; Co–Mo–S; syn**ergy; active site; promoter effect; thiophene HDS; H<sub>2</sub>-D<sub>2</sub> equili**bration; hydrogen; deuterium.**

# **INTRODUCTION**

Whereas the use of Co(Ni)Mo sulfide catalysts to desulfurize oil fractions has been well established and has gone on now for close to a century, the molecular basis of the synergetic effect between Co and Mo is still a subject of intense debate. It is generally accepted that in the active phase, small cobalt sulfide species are located at the  $MoS<sub>2</sub>$  edges (1). From combined Mössbauer emission spectroscopy (MES) and thiophene hydrodesulfurization (HDS) activity measurements (2, 3) it was proposed that Co is present in a unique phase, designated the "Co–Mo–S" phase. More detailed MES measurements showed that a unique Co–Mo–S phase as such does not exist: the Co sulfide species located at the  $MoS<sub>2</sub>$  edges may differ locally in size and ordening (4), a fact that was confirmed by EXAFS measurements (5).

Vissers *et al*. (6) concluded that the intrinsic HDS activity of carbon-supported Co (Co/C) sulfided at 673 K approaches the activity of CoMo/C. From MES studies (7, 8) it was established that the local environment of Co in Co/C sulfided at 373 K is equal to that of Co in sulfided CoMo/C. Furthermore, it appeared that during sulfidation at higher temperatures the very small cobalt sulfide species initially present in Co/C sinter, resulting in a  $Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>$ -type phase after sulfidation at 673 K. Later, EXAFS measurements (9) showed that the local environment of Co in Co/C sulfided at 373 K and in CoMo/C sulfided at 673 K are very similar. These findings were taken as a strong indication that the high activity of CoMo/C is related to a highly dispersed Co sulfide species stabilized at the edge surface of  $MoS<sub>2</sub>$  rather than to a mixed CoMo sulfide species. The remote control model by Delmon (10–12) and the vacancy model by Nørskov and co-workers (13, 14) are alternative explanations for the high activity of CoMo-based catalysts. The remote control model describes the synergetic effect in these catalysts in terms of spillover hydrogen being produced on  $Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>$  particles and creating vacancies on the active component, i.e.,  $MoS<sub>2</sub>$ . According to the vacancy model the sulfur bond energy is decreased in Co–Mo–S compared to  $MoS<sub>2</sub>$ thus leading to an increase in the number of vacancies. It is this parameter that controls the activity of transition metal sulfides (TMS). Indeed, recent first principle calculations (14) find a weakening of the Mo–S–Co bonds and easy creation of surface vacancies.

To test the hypothesis that Co sulfide is the active component in hydrotreating catalysts and that  $MoS<sub>2</sub>$  acts only as a secondary support to hinder  $\cos_x$  sintering, catalytic activity measurements are necessary. The most frequently



# **TABLE 1**

applied test reactions (e.g., thiophene HDS) are carried out at high temperatures (typically 523 K or higher) in order to activate carbon–heteroatom bonds. At these high temperatures Co sulfide species obtained after low-temperature sulfidation are not stable and transform into  $Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>$ -type species.

Since activation of hydrogen over MoS<sub>2</sub> catalysts occurs readily at ambient temperature at the edge surface (15, 16), the equilibration reaction between hydrogen and deuterium was used to clarify this issue. Based on the abovementioned studies (7–9) Co/C and (Co)Mo/C catalysts were selected. CoNaY was also included in this study, because it was shown that inside zeolite micropores highly dispersed cobalt sulfide particles can be formed (17–19).

The aim of the present study is to determine the role of Co and Mo in sulfided CoMo catalysts, i.e.,  $MoS<sub>2</sub>$  being a secondary support for active CoS*<sup>x</sup>* clusters or being constitutive in the active phase itself.

#### **METHODS**

## *Catalyst Preparation*

Carbon-supported Mo-containing catalysts (Mo/C; CoMo/C) were prepared by pore volume impregnation with ammoniacal solutions of  $(NH_4)_6M_0T_2$  +  $4H_2O$ (Merck,  $>99.9\%$ ) and  $Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O$  (Merck, p.a.). In the case of CoMo/C nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA) was used as a complexing agent according to the procedure described by Van Veen *et al*. (20). A suite of six Co-containing catalysts (Co/C) was prepared by pore volume impregnation with aqueous  $Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> \cdot 6H<sub>2</sub>O$  solutions of appropriate concentration. A 125- to 250- $\mu$ m sieve fraction of an activated carbon (NORIT RX3-extra) having a surface area of 1197  $\mathrm{m}^2/\mathrm{g}$  and a pore volume of 1.0 ml/g was used as carrier material. After impregnation, the catalysts were dried in static air at room temperature for 1 h and subsequently at 383 K for 12 h. Ion-exchanged CoNaY (3.9 wt% Co) was obtained by exchanging NaY (Akzo,  $Na_{55}(AlO_2)_{55}(SiO_2)_{137}$ , containing 25 wt% physisorbed water) with a solution of  $CoCl<sub>2</sub>$  of appropriate concentration followed by washing until Cl<sup>−</sup> free and drying in air at 383 K for 16 h.

The metal contents of the different catalysts were determined by atomic absorption spectroscopy and are listed in Table 1. Co/C containing 5.9 wt% Co was taken as the standard carbon-supported Co catalyst. Prior to reaction, the carbon-supported samples were sulfided *in situ* in a flow (60 Nml/min) of 10%  $H_2S$  in  $H_2$  (Hoekloos, >99.5%). The catalysts were heated to the final sulfidation temperature at a heating rate of 6 K/min (in the case of CoMo/C: 2 K/min). The sample was then kept at this temperature for 2 h.

Prior to sulfidation, CoNaY was dehydrated by heating the catalyst in flowing argon (flow rate: 60 Nml/min) at a rate

**Metal Contents of the Prepared Catalysts**

Catalyst	Metal salt	Metal loading (wt%)		
MoS <sub>2</sub>	Powder (Janssen Chimica, $99 + \%$ )			
Mo/C	$(NH_4)_6Mo_7O_{24}\cdot 4H_2O$ (Merck)	7.6		
Co/C	$Co(NO_3)$ , 6H <sub>2</sub> O (Merck)	0.04; 0.25; 0.44; 0.96; 3.2; 5.9:7.0		
CoMo/C	$(NH_4)_{6}Mo_7O_{24} \cdot 4H_2O$	6.0		
	$Co(NO_3)$ , $6H_2O$	1.3		
	$N(C_3H_7O)_3$ (ACROS, >97%)	1.2 mol NTA/mol Mo		
CoNaY	CoCl <sub>2</sub> (Merck)	3.9		

of 6 K/min to 673 K. After cooling to 573 K in Ar, the catalyst was sulfided in 10%  $H_2S/H_2$  for 2 h. MoS<sub>2</sub> powder (Janssen Chimica, 99+%, B.E.T. surface area 3.9  $\mathrm{m}^2/\mathrm{g}$ ) was heated to 673 K at a rate of 6 K/min in the sulfidation mixture and kept there for 2 h.

Catalysts will be denoted as follows: TM/S(T), where TM stands for the transition metal(s), S for the support, and T the sulfidation temperature. Cobalt-exchanged NaY is denoted  $CoNaY(573 K)$ , while the  $MoS<sub>2</sub>$  powder is denoted  $MoS<sub>2</sub>(673K).$ 

## *Thiophene HDS Reaction*

Activity measurements were carried out in an atmospheric single-pass microflow reactor with an internal diameter of 4 mm. Gasified thiophene (Acros, >99%) was obtained by passing hydrogen (Hoekloos, purity 99.95% additionally led through a gas-clean filter system to remove traces of oxygen, water, and hydrocarbons) through the liquid in a saturator equipped with a cooler that was kept at a constant temperature of 280 K. The required thiophene concentration was obtained by diluting this flow with pure hydrogen. The reactor packing consisted of an amount of catalyst diluted with inert carbon (125–250  $\mu$ m) to achieve plug flow conditions. The thiophene HDS reaction temperature was 673 K. Samples were analyzed by a gas chromatograph (Hewlett Packard 5890 series II equipped with a Chrompack CP-SIL 5 CB column). For the calculation of the rate constant  $(k_{HDS})$  first-order kinetics in thiophene were assumed (21). For a series of six Co/C catalysts with varying Co loading the activity was measured under differential conditions allowing the calculation of quasi-turnover frequencies.

## *H2–D2 Equilibration Apparatus*

The  $H_2-D_2$  equilibration activities were measured using a recirculation reactor set-up schematically shown in Fig. 1. A membrane pump (pump flow rate: 1 L/min at 1 bar) is used to recirculate gases in the recirculation loop. In order to achieve a flow rate of 50 Nml/min the pump inlet and



**FIG. 1.** Schematic layout of recirculation apparatus.

outlet were short-circuited with a restriction valve. Initially, the primary recirculation loop ( $V_{\text{loop}} = 213$  ml) is filled with Ar (Hoekloos, purity 99.99% additionally led over a BTS catalyst at 398 K in order to remove traces of oxygen). By switching the six-way valves 1 and 2, samples (10 ml) of  $H_2$  (Hoekloos, purity 99.95%),  $D_2$  (Praxair, purity 99.8%), or  $H_2S$  (Praxair, purity 99.8%) can be introduced into this primary loop. During this sampling, catalyst pretreatment takes place in the secondary loop. After the final pretreatment step the reactor ( $V_{\text{reactor}} = 17$  ml) is evacuated by a turbo molecular drag pump (vacuum better than  $10^{-6}$  bar). The reaction is started by switching six-way valve 3: the gas present in the primary loop is quickly distributed over the evacuated secondary loop. The initial primary loop pressure is  $1.11.10<sup>2</sup>$  kPa. When the reaction has been started the total system pressure is  $1.07 \times 10^2$  kPa.

Continuous on-line analysis of all gas-phase components was performed by a quadropole mass spectrometer (Balzers QMG 200M system) equipped with a secondary electron multiplier operating at 1200 V. During a typical experiment the gas flow rate into the mass spectrometer was so low that the decrease in system pressure was less than 1%. Mass spectra were collected every 0.5 min. Gas-phase concentrations of  $H_2$ ,  $D_2$ , HD, and  $H_2$ S were calculated after calibration with the individual pure gases. The deuterium distribution in  $H_2S$  was determined by deconvolution of the peaks in the range  $m/e = 32-38$ .

# *H2–D2 Equilibration Reaction*

The primary loop is filled with 6.5 kPa  $H_2$  and 6.5 kPa  $D_2$ . In some experiments  $H_2$  was left out or (partially) replaced by H<sub>2</sub>S. After sulfidation of the catalyst, the H<sub>2</sub>S/H<sub>2</sub> flow was replaced by Ar (flow rate 60 Nml/min). The catalyst was cooled or heated to the equilibration reaction temperature (423 K) and kept there for 1 h. The reaction was started after the reactor was evacuated.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## *Thiophene Hydrodesulfurization*

In Table 2 the initial thiophene HDS activities of the different catalysts are shown both on a per kilogram of

## **TABLE 2**

# **Initial First-Order Thiophene HDS Rate Constants (***T* = **673 K; 4% Thiophene)**





**FIG. 2.** H<sub>2</sub>-D<sub>2</sub> equilibration (*T* = 423 K;  $P_{\text{H}_2} = P_{\text{D}_2} = 6.5$  kPa): gas-phase H<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>, and HD fraction as a funcion of reaction time over CoMo/ C(673 K).

catalyst basis as well as on a per mol of Co basis. CoMo/  $C(673 K)$  is about six times more active than the unpromoted Mo/C(673 K), a promoter effect often encountered in the literature (22). The activities of  $Co/C(673 K)$  and CoNaY(573 K) are quite comparable, while the activity per mole of Co of CoMo/C(673 K) is much higher than that of  $Co/C(673 K)$  and  $CoNaY(573 K)$ . As expected, the lowsurface-area  $MoS_2(673 K)$  has a very low thiophene HDS activity.

# *H2–D2 Equilibration*

Figure 2 shows the results of a typical  $H_2-D_2$  equilibration experiment over CoMo/C(673 K). The gas-phase fractions of  $H_2$ ,  $D_2$ , and HD are plotted against the reaction time. The initial gas pressures of hydrogen and deuterium were both 6.5 kPa. The reaction was performed at 423 K. It is important to note that  $Mo/C(673 K)$  shows qualitatively the same behavior. Initially, the fraction of HD increases at the expense of  $H_2$  and  $D_2$ . However, after a reaction time of 100 min an increase in the  $H_2$  fraction is observed, while an equilibrium state is reached after approximately 2400 min. The fact that in this equilibrium state the  $H_2$  fraction exceeds the  $D_2$  fraction indicates that initially exchangeable hydrogen species were present in our closed system. Apparently, these hydrogen species are present on the catalyst.

When it is assumed that the ratio of hydrogen to deuterium species is uniform in the reactor (i.e., in the gas phase and on the catalyst) at equilibrium, the total amount of exchangeable hydrogen species initially present on the catalyst  $(H_0)$ can be calculated from the final hydrogen to deuterium ratio in the gas phase

$$
\left(\frac{H}{D}\right)=\left(\frac{2H_{2g}+H_0}{2D_{2g}}\right)_{\it t=0}=\left(\frac{2H_{2g}+HD_g}{2D_{2g}+HD_g}\right)_{\it equilibrium},
$$

where the subscript g stands for the molar amount in the gas phase and the subscript 0 stands for the molar amount on the catalyst.

In this case one calculates the number of exchangeable hydrogen atoms, H<sub>0</sub>, to be  $7.0 \times 10^{-3}$  mol H/g catalyst. There are two possible sources of hydrogen: (i) hydrogen associated with the sulfided phase and (ii) hydrogen present at the support surface. Several studies have been devoted to the behavior of  $H_2$  over sulfide catalysts, mostly dealing with hydrogen sorption on unsupported  $MoS<sub>2</sub>$  and supported  $MoS<sub>2</sub>$ (23–28). For instance, Komatsu and Hall (27) found that hydrogen sorbs both on unsupported  $MoS_2$  (H/Mo = 0.045) and on alumina-supported  $MoS_2$  (H/Mo = 0.47). The nature of this sorbed hydrogen is unknown, although there seems to be general agreement that at least a part is present as  $SH^-$  groups at the MoS<sub>2</sub> edges (23, 27, 29). Molecular

orbital calculations on small model clusters for  $MoS<sub>2</sub>$  by Anderson *et al*. (30) have shown that hydrogen can dissociate heterolytically to form a hydride group and an edge SH group. There is a small barrier for migration of H atoms from edge S atoms to basal plane S atoms, which was already proposed by Wright *et al*. (23). A maximum amount of H atoms associated with basal plane sulfur was calculated to be H<sub>6/7</sub>MoS<sub>2</sub>. Badger *et al*. proposed the intercalation of  $H_2$  into the chalcogenide sheets of MoS<sub>2</sub> (31).

In consequence, it seems fair to conclude that the high value for H<sub>0</sub> (which amounts to  $H_0/Mo = 11$  on a per mol Mo basis) cannot be attributed solely to H atoms associated with the sulfided phase. Moreover, the pretreatment procedure, viz., Ar purging and evacuation, probably results in the desorption of most of the sorbed hydrogen. Based on the above-mentioned considerations, we believe that at least the larger part of this excess of H atoms cannot originate from the sulfided phase. Apparently, these H atoms are associated with the carbon support surface. The surface of activated carbon contains different kinds of oxygencontaining functionalities such as hydroxyl and carboxyl groups as well as  $CH<sub>2</sub>$  and  $CH<sub>3</sub>$  groups (32, 33). The fact that  $H_0$  is of the same magnitude for all carbon-supported catalysts (Table 3) supports this proposition.  $MoS<sub>2</sub>(673 K)$ contains only a small amount of hydrogen  $(H_0/M_0 = 0.21)$ , which might be attributed to intercalated hydrogen or hydrogen adsorbed on the basal planes. This result indicates that the contribution of H atoms originating from the sulfide phase is small compared to the number of exchangeable H atoms of the support.

The same findings were reported previously for aluminasupported (Ni)Mo sulfide catalysts by Thomas *et al*. (34, 35). Apparently, the exchange between H atoms of the support via the sulfide is a general phenomenon for supported sulfide catalysts. The  $H_0$  value found for alumina-supported NiMo sulfide (34), i.e.,  $5 \times 10^{14}$  mol  $H/cm^2$ , is on the same order of magnitude as the values found for our carbon support. Also, the H/Mo value for bulk  $MoS<sub>2</sub>$  reported in that paper  $(H/Mo = 0.24)$  agrees very well with our value  $(H/Mo = 0.21)$ , stressing the reliability of the method. The carbon support itself was inert in the  $H_2-D_2$  equilibration reaction, which means that the sulfide phase plays an essential role in the exchange of H atoms originating from the support surface. This process is generally referred to as spillover (10–12, 25, 26, 28). Summarizing, it appears that

#### **TABLE 3**

**Number of Exchangeable Support H Atoms (H0)**

Catalyst	$H_0$ (mol $H/g$ )	H atoms/ $cm2$ support
Mo/C(673 K)	$6.3 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.2 \times 10^{14}$
Co/C(373 K)	$8.2 \times 10^{-3}$	$4.2 \times 10^{14}$
CoMo/C(673 K)	$7.0 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.5 \times 10^{14}$

**TABLE 4**

Activity in $H_2-D_2$ Equilibration Reaction
$(T=423 \text{ K}; P_{\text{H}} = P_{\text{D}} = 6.5 \text{ kPa})$



*<sup>a</sup>* Per mol Mo.

*<sup>b</sup>* Per mol Co.

 $H_2$  and  $D_2$  dissociatively adsorb on the sulfide phase and that concomitant HD desorption takes place. Furthermore, there appears to be an exchange of D atoms adsorbed on the sulfide phase  $(D_{\text{sulf}})$  with H atoms at the support surface  $(H_{\text{supp}})$  via spillover.

As a measure for the activity of the various catalysts, the initial rate of HD formation was calculated (see Table 4). It is clear that  $\text{CoMo}/\text{C}(673 \text{ K})$  has the highest activity while the catalysts containing only Co have very poor activity. The activity of the  $MoS<sub>2</sub>(673 K)$  is lowest, in line with its very low surface area. CoMo/C(673 K) is about three times more active than Mo/C(673 K). While the plot of fractions against reaction time for  $Mo/C(673 K)$  is qualitatively similar to the experiment with  $CoMo/C(673 K)$ , the plot for  $Co/C(373 K)$ (Fig. 3a) is clearly different. Evidently, the initial rate of HD formation on this catalyst is low but after a short time the reaction accelerates and becomes accompanied by a release of hydrogen sulfide species into the gas phase due to the partial reduction of cobalt sulfide. Approximately one S atom per cobalt atom is removed by this reduction. In Fig. 3b the evolution of hydrogen (deuterium) sulfide species  $(H_2S, HDS, HDS)$ and  $D_2S$ ) can be found. Clearly, the initial rate of  $D_2S$  formation is much lower than that of  $H_2S$ . This can be attributed to an initially lower  $D<sub>suffix</sub>$  compared to  $H<sub>suffix</sub>$ , since the dissociation rate constant for  $D_2$  is approximately 15 times lower than that for  $H_2$  under the conditions applied. The two determining factors for this difference are the shift in zero point energy ( $\nu$ (H<sub>2</sub>) = 4159 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $\nu$ (D<sub>2</sub>) = 2149 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and the difference in rotational moment.

The initial activity of  $Co(673 K)/C$  is one order of magnitude lower than that of Co(373 K)/C, which can be explained by the bulkier and thus less dispersed  $Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>$ -type phase. Furthermore, only a very small evolution of hydrogen sulfide species for Co(673 K)/C was observed, which corresponded to about 0.01 S/Co as was also the case for Mo/C(673 K) and CoMo/C(673 K). This indicates that only a light reduction takes place or that this release can be attributed to chemisorbed hydrogen sulfide. As freshly



**FIG. 3.** H<sub>2</sub>-D<sub>2</sub> equilibration (*T* = 423 K;  $P_{\text{H}_2} = P_{\text{D}_2} = 6.5$  kPa) over Co/C(373 K): (a) gas-phase H<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>, and HD fractions; (b) amount of H<sub>2</sub>S, D<sub>2</sub>S, and HDS produced as a function of reaction time.

sulfided Co/C(373 K) is not stable under our  $H_2-D_2$  reaction conditions, the activity of a reduced  $Co/C(373 K)$  was measured. This catalyst  $[Co/C(373 K, H<sub>2</sub>)]$  was obtained by purging Co/C(373 K) for 1 h in flowing Ar after a regular experiment. In this way, one sulfur atom per Co was removed as  $H_2S$ . Subsequently, a new  $H_2-D_2$  experiment was carried out [Table 4;  $Co/C(373 \text{ K}, \text{H}_2)$ ]. Indeed, the activity is approximately 30% higher than that of Co/C(373 K), while little hydrogen sulfide production was observed.

EXAFS measurements by Crajé *et al.* (9) have shown that the first two coordination shells of cobalt (Co–S and Co–Co) are similar for  $Co/C(373 K)$  and  $CoMo/C(673 K)$ and essentially differ from the spectra for  $Co/C(673 K)$ . The coordination number for Co–S ( $N_{Co-S}$ ) was found to be 6.0 in the case of Co(373 K)/C and 5.1 in the case of CoMo/C(673 K), while  $N_{Co-S}$  was 3.6 for Co/C(673 K), indicating the presence of a bulky  $Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>$ -type phase. Apparently, the sixth S atom around Co in Co/C(373 K) is easily removed by reduction with  $H_2$  under the conditions applied. The sixth S atom coordinating Co in CoMo/C(673 K) is absent, because it is less stable or possibly removed as  $H<sub>2</sub>S$  during the pretreatment steps. In addition, it is found that CoNaY(573 K) shows no significant release of hydrogen sulfide during  $H_2-D_2$  equilibration, which is in line with a Co–S coordination number of 5 for this catalyst (19).

Quadrupole splitting (QS) values of the "Co–sulfide" doublet for catalysts equivalent to ours are summarized in Table 5. It can be concluded that the thiophene HDS activity of the catalysts containing only Co increases with increasing QS value. It is important to note that a high QS value is related to highly dispersed and/or disordered Co sulfide particles. For these monometallic sulfides, we find a clear-cut relation between the  $H_2-D_2$  equilibration activity and the QS value. It is tempting to interpret the QS value as a measure for the dispersion. However, EXAFS measurements (19) have shown that there is no Co–Co coordination in  $CoNaY(573 K)$ , suggesting the presence of  $CoS<sub>x</sub>$  species with one Co atom. It follows that in addition to effects of particle size and ordering of the sulfide phase changes in

## **TABLE 5**

**Q.S. Values in mm/s (**±**0.03 mm/s) of the "Co-Sulfide" Doublet**



*<sup>a</sup>*The spectral contribution of the Co-sulfide doublet is 100%; loading: Co(4.3)/C and Co(2.25)Mo(6.84)/C; data are from Crajé et al. (4).

*<sup>b</sup>* The spectral contribution of the Co-sulfide doublet is 69%; data are from De Bont *et al*. (19).

the local electronic structure, exerted by, e.g., the choice of support, influence QS. As pointed out by Vissenberg (36), one important role of the acidic protons in sulfided CoNaY(573 K) is to keep the very small  $\cos<sub>x</sub>$  clusters in a dispersed form inside the zeolite micropores. This may involve a direct bonding of the  $\cos x$  species to the acidic protons.

The comparable QS value for Co/C sulfided at 373 K and for sulfided CoMo/C points to similar small  $\cos<sub>x</sub>$  clusters in both systems. This was taken as support for the idea that the high activity of CoMo/C is due to the high dispersion of Co (7). A direct evaluation of the thiophene HDS activity of  $Co/C(373 K)$  is not possible, since the small  $CoS<sub>x</sub>$  clusters convert to a bulky  $Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>$ -type phase at typical hydrotreating reaction temperatures. However, the  $H_2-D_2$  equilibration activities measured at 423 K permit a direct comparison between Co/C(373 K) and CoMo/C(673 K). Despite the above-mentioned structural similarities, it is clear that  $Co/C(373 K)$  is much less active than  $CoMo/C(673 K)$ . Therefore, we conclude that the synergy observed for CoMo/C(673 K) in  $H_2-D_2$  equilibration cannot be explained by the high dispersion of Co. The results indicate that the high activity of  $CoMo/C(673 K)$  is related to the chemical properties of both Co and Mo.

Moreover, the low activity of catalysts containing only the Co component can be taken as a strong argument against the remote control model: under the conditions applied, the sulfide phase present in Co/C(373 K) and Co/C(673 K) generates very little spillover H atoms, while a clear synergetic effect is observed in CoMo/C(673 K). This clearly contradicts the importance of Co sulfide (donor) to the creation of vacancies on  $MoS<sub>2</sub>$  (acceptor) as suggested by Delmon  $(10-12)$ . In conclusion, the high activity in  $CoMo/C(673 K)$ must be related to a close contact between Co and Mo in line with the proposals for a Co–Mo–S-type phase.

The quasi-turnover frequencies (QTOF) for thiophene HDS, defined as the number of moles of thiophene converted per mole of total Co per hour, of a series of six Co/C catalysts are presented in Fig. 4. Extrapolation of 1/QTOF versus the loading to zero loading as previously described by Vissers *et al*. (6) leads to an intrinsic activity of 165 mol thiophene/mol  $Co \cdot h$ , which is substantially lower than the value found for  $CoMo/C$  (436 mol thiophene/mol  $Co·h$ ). This result is essentially different from that obtained by Vissers *et al*. (6). They concluded that the intrinsic activity of Co sulfide in Co/C is equivalent to the activity of Co sulfide in CoMo/C. The reason for this difference lies in the differential conditions applied in the present study, which allow a proper calculation of QTOF, while Vissers *et al*. (6) calculated QTOF values on the basis of first-order kinetics. Furthermore, Bouwens *et al*. (37) have shown that the preparation method used by Vissers *et al*. (6)—sequential impregnation of Mo and Co—leads to a CoMo catalyst with Co present in different phases, i.e., in both a Co–Mo–S-type



**FIG. 4.** 1/QTOF versus the Co loading of the various Co/C catalysts (thiophene HDS; 4% thiophene in H<sub>2</sub>;  $T = 673$  K).

phase and a  $Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>$ -type phase. The mono-atomically dispersed  $\cos_{x}$  particles in  $\cos_{x}$  (573 K) have a lower activity than the small  $\cos x$  particles in Co/C with low Co loadings. This strongly suggests that there exists an optimal size of  $\cos_x$  particles for the hydrodesulfurization of thiophene. In conclusion, the thiophene HDS acitivity per mol of Co in  $CoMo/C(673 K)$  is much higher than that in the catalysts containing only Co, stressing again the importance of the presence of a unique phase containing both Co and Mo.

The present results provide the important insight that neither the effect of high dispersion of CoS*<sup>x</sup>* particles nor spillover effects can explain the synergy between Co and Mo in CoMo/C(673 K). Apparently, Co and Mo in a specific configuration are mandatory for high activity. The edgedecoration models (37, 38) based on the Co–Mo–S model provide such a configuration. However, Crajé *et al.* (4) already pointed out that the idea of Co ions being present at well-defined positions of  $MoS<sub>2</sub>$  is in contrast with a varying QS value as a function of both sulfidation temperature and Co/Mo ratio.

The pivotal question still relates to a satisfactory explanation for the observed synergy. On the basis of MES measurements (4) one concludes that the local (electronic) structures of Co in Co/C(373 K) and CoMo/C(673 K) are similar. These small  $\cos_x$  particles have a low activity in activating  $H_2$ . Therefore, an explanation may be provided

by the higher reactivity of the sulfur atoms that bridge between the  $Mo^{4+}$  and the  $Co^{2+}$  ions compared to the bridging sulfur atoms between two  $Mo^{4+}$  ions in MoS<sub>2</sub>. This is in line with the higher reactivity of  $\text{CoMo/C}(673 \text{ K})$  than that of Mo/C(673 K): the lower oxidation state of  $Co^{2+}$ , which can be thought to replace a  $Mo^{4+}$ , leads to a less stable and thus more reactive bridging S atom. The work of Byskov *et al*. (14) agrees well with this model, while both experimental work (39) and theoretical work (13, 40) underscore the crucial role of these S atoms in the catalytic cycle. Interestingly, recent work of Curtis and Druker (41) has demonstrated that carbonyl and cyclopentadienyl ligand stabilized " $Co<sub>2</sub>Mo<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>$ " clusters can activate C-S bonds. An initially threefold coordinated bridging sulfur atom becomes twofold coordinated upon adsorption of thiophene on the cluster. The concept of latent vacancies was introduced, which may also be applicable to a Co–Mo–S-type site.

All things considered, we tentatively conclude that the generation of a unique Co–Mo–S-type phase is the basis for the synergetic effect not only in the  $H_2-D_2$  equilibration but also in many types of hydrotreating reactions.

## *D2 Exchange*

In Fig. 5 the results are shown after exposure of CoMo/  $C(673 \text{ K})$  to  $D_2$  (initial  $D_2$  partial pressure: 6.5 kPa). The



**FIG. 5.** D<sub>2</sub> exchange (*T* = 423 K;  $P_{D_2}$  = 6.5 kPa): gas-phase fractions as a function of reaction time over CoMo/C(673 K).

initial rate of HD formation is much lower than encountered in the  $H_2-D_2$  equilibration experiments. This shows that the exchange process between  $D_{\text{sulf}}$  and  $H_{\text{supp}}$  is slow compared to the rates for adsorption and desorption. While HD is formed as an initial product,  $H_2$  is formed as a secondary product. In Table 6, the rates for the  $D_2$  exchange experiments over Mo/C(673 K) and CoMo/C(673 K) are presented. Most striking is the small difference in initial HD formation rate between the two catalysts, which suggests that there is no large promoter effect for the exchange process with the support hydrogen atoms  $(H_{\text{supp}})$ . The  $H_0$ values for these experiments correspond well with the values obtained from the  $H_2-D_2$  equilibration experiments.

The low initial HD formation rate in the  $D_2$  exchange experiments compared to the  $H_2-D_2$  equilibration exper-

#### **TABLE 6**

# **Activity Data for D2 Exchange Experiments**  $(T = 423 \text{ K}; P_{D_2} = 6.5 \text{ kPa})$

Initial rate of formation  $\frac{(mol/mol MO \cdot h)}{E}$  Exchangeable H at Catalyst  $HD$   $H_2$  (mol H/g)  $Mo(673 K)/C$   $0.22$   $0.00$   $7.3 \times 10^{-3}$ <br>CoMo(673 K)/C  $0.29$   $0.00$   $7.5 \times 10^{-3}$  $CoMo(673 K)/C$ 

iments indicates that the rate in the  $D_2$  exchange experiments is controlled by the exchange of  $D_{\text{sulf}}$  and  $H_{\text{supp}}$ . From the much higher activity measured in the  $H_2-D_2$  experiments one concludes that the adsorption/desorption rates on the sulfide phase are fast compared to the exchange rate with support hydrogen atoms  $(H_{\text{supp}})$ .

## *Effect of H2 and D2 Partial Pressure*

In Table 7 the activities of Mo/C(673 K) and CoMo/ C(673 K) are shown as a function of the  $H_2$  and  $D_2$  partial pressures. From the observed dependence of the initial HD formation rate for  $CoMo/C(673 K)$  as a function of  $H_2$ and  $D_2$  partial pressure, one concludes that the rate is proportional to the coverages of H and D atoms on the sulfide

### **TABLE 7**

**Activity Data for Mo/C(673 K) and CoMo(673 K) as a Function of**  $H_2$  **and D<sub>2</sub> Partial Pressure (** $T = 423$  **K)** 



phase. In this case, the rate-limiting step must be a surface reaction that is either the migration of H and D species or the recombinative desorption of HD. On the contrary, the rate for Mo/C(673 K) is clearly first order in  $H_2$  and  $D_2$  partial pressure. Apparently, the rate-limiting step is now the adsorption of  $H_2$  and  $D_2$ . This may indicate that in addition to atomic H and D atoms, molecularly adsorbed  $H_2$  (or  $D_2$ ) is present in  $Mo/C(673 K)$ .

It follows that CoMo/C(673 K) is a more efficient catalyst for activating  $H_2$  than Mo/C(673 K) under the conditions applied, which may relate to the unique sulfur reactivity as discussed above.

# *H2S–D2 Exchange*

To shed light on the catalytic behavior of  $H_2S$  for hydrotreating catalysis over CoMo/C(673 K), the isotopic exchange between  $H_2S$  and  $D_2$  was studied. In Fig. 6 the fractions of  $H_2$ , HD, and  $D_2$  for CoMo/C(673 K) (Fig. 6a) and the deuterium distribution in  $H_2S$  (Fig. 6b) are plotted against reaction time. Note that the exchange between equimolar amounts of  $H_2S$  and  $D_2$  was studied and that the total gas-phase fraction of  $H_2S$ ,  $D_2S$ , and HDS as well as  $H_2, D_2$ , and HD is taken as unity. The activity data are presented in Table 8, experiment IV. As can be seen there is an isotopic exchange between  $H_2S$  and  $D_2$ , resulting in the formation of HD,  $H_2$ , HDS, and D<sub>2</sub>S. In contrast to the D<sub>2</sub> exchange experiment, direct formation of  $H_2$  is observed, which points to a fast exchange between the H atoms of adsorbed  $H_2S$  and the adsorbed D atoms to form HD,  $H_2$ , HDS, and  $D_2S$ . While both HDS and  $D_2S$  appear as initial products, it is peculiar that the initial rate of formation of  $D_2$ S is very low. Moreover, when the balance for H and D atoms is calculated, one finds that initially 6.9 mol  $H/mol \cdot h$ are evolved into the gas phase while the same amount of deuterium atoms disappear. It is clear that the exchange of  $D_{\text{suffix}}$  with  $H_{\text{supp}}$  is accelerated by the presence of  $H_2S$ . Indeed, from Fig. 6a it follows that total equilibration is already reached after 500 min, while in earlier experiments (Fig. 2) this process took longer than 2000 min. One possi-

#### **TABLE 8**

**Activity Data for Experiments Using Mixed Feeds of H2, D2, and H2S (Catalyst: CoMo(673 K)/C; Temperature: 423 K)**

	Partial pressures (kPa)		Initial rate of formation (mod/mol Mo·h)				
Experiment	H <sub>2</sub>	D,	$H_2S$	H,	HD	D,S	HDS
	6.5	6.5	0		9.1		
Н	3.25	6.5	$\bf{0}$		6.0		
Ш	3.25	6.5	3.25		5.3	0.05	0.36
IV	0	6.5	6.5	2.4	4.1	0.2	1.9
$V^a$	6.5	6.5	0		7.5		

*<sup>a</sup>* Cooled to reaction temperature in sulfidation mixture.

ble explanation is the migration of D atoms via HDS and  $D_2$ S from the sulfide surface to the support surface via the gas phase as proposed by Thomas *et al*. (35). However, the number of  $D$  atoms involved in HDS and  $D_2S$  formation amounts to 2.3 mol  $D/mol \cdot h$ , which is substantially lower than the rate at which D atoms are initially incorporated into the support surface. This was also outlined by Bianchi *et al.* (42) describing the effect of  $H_2O$  in the case of spillover phenomena over Pt/Al2O3 and silica, while Stumbo *et al*. (43) suggested that the increase in spillover rate by  $H_2S$ over  $CoMo/SiO<sub>2</sub>$  can be explained by the creation of new sites and a new pathway for spillover. Nakamura *et al*. (44) proposed that upon adsorption of  $H_2S$  an SH group and one spillover H atom are formed.

Another possible pathway is derived from the work by Topsøe and Topsøe (45). They showed that hydrogen bonding between SH groups on the sulfide phase and surface alumina hydroxyl groups led to a new IR band assigned to more acidic surface hydroxyl groups. It was proposed that these SH species are generated by dissociative  $H_2S$  adsorption. This may be a facile pathway for the spillover process of  $D_{\text{sulf}}$ . The rate of exchange is proportional to the number of these species and thus related to the  $H_2S$  partial pressure. Moreover, this can explain the smallness of the difference in activity for Mo/C(673 K) and CoMo/C(673 K) in the  $D_2$ exchange experiment (see Table 6), since the type of metal on which these terminal SH groups are bonded may not be very important.

In Table 8 an overview of the relevant experiments regarding the effect of  $H_2S$  is presented. In experiment II the H2 partial pressure is lowered compared to experiment I, resulting in a lower initial HD formation rate. Again, the rate is proportional to the square root of the  $H_2$  partial pressure and thus proportional to Hsulf (*vide supra*). Experiment III essentially differs from experiment II by the admixture of  $H_2S$ , while the partial pressures of both  $H_2$ and  $D_2$  are kept constant. The lower initial HD formation rate points to competitive adsorption of  $H_2S$  and  $H_2$  (or  $D_2$ ). Since it is generally accepted that  $H_2S$  adsorbs on sulfur vacancies as originally proposed by Lipsch and Schuit (46), i.e., coordinatively unsaturated sites, we conclude that dissociative  $H_2$  adsorption leads to the formation of at least one metal hydride and most probably an SH group. These results are in good agreement with the conjecture put forward by Thomas *et al*. (34). This heterolytic dissociation of  $H_2$  on sulfide surfaces was proposed previously  $(31, 47)$ , whereas experimental indications for hydride formation are scarce (48). Goodenough (49) proposed the homolytic dissociation of  $H_2$  on an  $S_2^{2-}$  center, which appears to be in contrast with our experimental results. The initial HDS formation rate in experiment IV is a factor of 5 higher than that in experiment III: the absence of  $H_2$  results in a higher D<sub>sulf</sub>, leading to an increased exchange between H atoms of  $H_2S$  and D atoms. Although we cannot determine the



**FIG. 6.** H<sub>2</sub>S–D<sub>2</sub> exchange (*T*=423 K;  $P_{\text{H}_2\text{S}} = P_{\text{D}_2} = 6.5$  kPa) over CoMo/C(673 K): (a) gas-phase H<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>, and HD fractions; (b) gas-phase H<sub>2</sub>S,  $D_2$ S, and HDS fractions as a function of reaction time.



**FIG. 7.** Schematic representation of catalytic  $H_2-D_2$  equilibration over a "Co–Mo–S"-type site.

mode of adsorption of  $H_2S$ , the widely accepted heterolytic dissociation of  $H_2S$  (50) can explain the observed exchange processes. As already indicated by Thomas *et al*. (34), it appears that there exists a common mode for dissociation of  $H_2$  and  $H_2S$ , i.e., heterolytic dissociation.

From the low rate of exchange between  $D<sub>suffix</sub>$  and  $H<sub>supp</sub>$ in the absence of  $H_2S$ , we conclude that SH groups formed via heterolytic  $H_2$  dissociation are inactive in promoting the spillover process. This means that they are different from the SH groups formed by heterolytic  $H_2S$  dissociation. Accordingly, we propose that  $H_2$  dissociates heterolytically to form a Co hydride and a bridging SH group as depicted in Fig. 7. After heterolytically dissociative  $D_2$  adsorption, HD desorption can take place. In this model the exchange takes place after dissociation of  $H_2$  and  $D_2$  at a single Co center. However, the dissociation might also take place at discrete centers concomitant with migration of H (D) atoms on the sulfide surface (33).

When no  $H_2S$  is present in the gas phase the number of terminal SH groups is low, leading to a low rate of exchange with the H atoms at the support surface. However, when  $H_2S$  is present the spillover process will accelerate due to an increase in the number of terminal SH groups. H2S competitively adsorbs on coordinatively unsaturated sites with  $H_2$  and  $D_2$ , thus lowering  $H_{\text{surf}}$  and  $D_{\text{surf}}$ . Consequently, this leads to a lower initial HD formation rate. The hydrogen bonding between terminal SH groups and surface functional groups and the subsequent exchange is visualized in Fig. 8. It is important to note that the heterolytic dissociation of  $H_2S$  leads to two SH groups: one SH group bound between Co and Mo, which is believed to be more strongly bound (39) than the second SH group, which is terminally bound to the Co center.

The low activity encountered in the  $D_2$  exchange experiment can now easily be explained by the small number of terminal SH groups resulting in a low spillover rate. In experiment V (Table 8) the catalyst was cooled to reaction temperature in the sulfidation mixture instead of Ar. It appears that the resulting initial rate of HD formation is lower than in experiment I, showing that the lower purge temperature results in a lower coordinative unsaturation. Furthermore, the time to reach the equilibrium state was found to be somewhat shorter, which is in line with the increase of terminal SH groups on the sulfide surface.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

By using the  $H_2-D_2$  equilibration reaction at 423 K the catalytic activity of structurally analogous CoS*<sup>x</sup>* particles, i.e., in Co/C(373 K) and in CoMo/C(673 K), could be meaningfully compared for the first time. From the much higher activity in the bimetallic catalyst, it is concluded that this increased activity cannot be explained by the high dispersion



**FIG. 8.** Schematic representation of catalytic H<sub>2</sub>S–D<sub>2</sub> exchange and spillover over a carbon-supported "Co–Mo–S"-type site.

of the CoS*<sup>x</sup>* particles as suggested by the dispersion model. The starting point for this model was the conclusion reached by Vissers *et al*. (6) that the intrinsic thiophene HDS activity per Co atom in Co/C is equivalent to the activity per Co atom in CoMo/C. Our more detailed differential kinetic measurements show that the intrinsic activity per Co atom in CoMo/C(673 K) is substantially higher than the intrinsic activity of Co/C(673 K). Moreover, the remote control model seems inadequate to account for the observed synergy between Co and Mo sulfide. From the present results it is tentatively concluded that the sulfur atoms that bridge between  $Co^{2+}$  and  $Mo^{4+}$  are important in explaining the synergy between Co and Mo. This is further emphasized by kinetic measurements comparing Mo/C(673 K) and CoMo/C(673 K), which demonstrate that in the former catalyst the activation of  $H_2(D_2)$  is rate limiting while in the latter a surface reaction determines the catalytic activity.

It is observed that D atoms, after being adsorbed on the sulfide phase, exchange with H atoms originating from functional groups of the support surface. The rate of this spillover process is much lower that the rate of adsorption and desorption on the sulfide phase but significantly increases by the admixture of  $H_2S$  to the gas phase. The results indicate a new pathway for spillover consisting of terminal SH groups in interaction with support functional groups via hydrogen bonding. The lower initial HD formation rate in the presence of  $H_2S$  points to competitive adsorption of  $H_2S$  and  $H_2$ . It is also concluded that  $H_2$  heterolytically dissociates to form a bridging SH group and a metal hydride group while dissociative  $H_2S$  adsorption on coordinatively unsaturated sites leads to one bridging SH group and one terminal SH group.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

These investigations were supported by the Netherlands Foundation for Chemical Research (SON) with financial aid from the Netherlands Technology Foundation (STW). The research was performed under the auspices of NIOK, the Netherlands Institute for Catalysis Research, Lab Report TUE-99-5-01.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Topsøe, N. Y., and Topsøe, H., *J. Catal.* **84**, 386 (1983).
- 2. Topsøe, H., Clausen, B. S., Candia, R., Wivel, C., and Mørup, S., *J. Catal.* **68**, 433 (1981).
- 3. Wivel, C., Candia, R., Clausen, B. S., Mørup, S., and Topsøe, H., *J. Catal.* **68**, 453 (1981).
- 4. Crajé, M. W. J., De Beer, V. H. J., Van Veen, J. A. R., and Van der Kraan, A. M., *in* "Hydrotreating Technology for Pollution Control" (M. L. Occelli and R. R. Chianelli, Eds.), p. 95. Dekker, New York, 1996.
- 5. Bouwens, S. M. A. M., Van Zon, F. B. M., Van Dijk, M. P., Van der Kraan, A. M., De Beer, V. H. J., Van Veen, J. A. R., and Koningsberger, D. C., *J. Catal*. **146**, 375 (1994).
- 6. Vissers, J. P. R., De Beer, V. H. J., and Prins, R., *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1* **83**, 2145 (1987).
- 7. Crajé, M. W. J., De Beer, V. H. J., and Van der Kraan, A. M., *Appl. Catal*. **70**, L7 (1991).
- 8. Craj´e, M. W. J., De Beer, V. H. J., and Van der Kraan, A. M., *Hyp. Int*. **69**, 795 (1991).
- 9. Crajé, M. W. J., Louwers, S. P. A., De Beer, V. H. J., Prins, R., and Van der Kraan, A. M., *J. Phys. Chem*. **96**, 5445 (1992).
- 10. Delmon, B., *Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg*. **88**, 979 (1979).
- 11. Delmon, B., *in* "Catalysts in Petroleum Refining 1989" (D. L. Trimm, S. Akashah, M. Absi-Halabi, and A. Bishara, Eds.), p. 1. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1990.
- 12. Delmon, B., *Catal. Lett*. **22**, 1 (1993).
- 13. Nørskov, J. K., Clausen, B. S., and Topsøe, H., *Catal. Lett*. **13**, 1 (1992).
- 14. Byskov, L. S., Hammer, B., Nørskov, J. K., Clausen, B. S., and Topsøe, H., *Catal. Lett*. **47**, 177 (1997).
- 15. Tanaka, K., and Okuhara, T., *Catal. Rev. Sci. Eng*. **15**, 249 (1977).
- 16. Tanaka, K., and Okuhara, T., *J. Catal*. **78**, 155 (1982).
- 17. Welters, W. J. J., De Beer, V. H. J., and Van Santen, R. A., *Appl. Catal*. **119**, 253 (1994).
- 18. Vissenberg, M. J., De Bont, P. W., Arnouts, J. W. C., Van de Ven, L. J. M., De Haan, J. W., Van der Kraan, A. M., De Beer, V. H. J., Van Veen, J. A. R., and Van Santen, R. A., *Catal. Lett*. **47**, 155 (1997).
- 19. De Bont, P. W., Vissenberg, M. J., De Beer, V. H. J., Van Veen, J. A. R., Van Santen, R. A., and Van der Kraan, A. M., *J. Phys. Chem*. **102**, 5876 (1998).
- 20. Van Veen, J. A. R., Gerkema, E., Van der Kraan, A. M., and Knoester, A., *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* 1684 (1987)
- 21. Duchet, J. C., Van Oers, E. M., De Beer, V. H. J., and Prins, R., *J. Catal*. **80**, 386 (1983).
- 22. Topsøe, H., Clausen, B. S., and Massoth, F. E., "Hydrotreating Catalysis." Springer, Berlin, 1996.
- 23. Wright, C. J., Sampson, C., Fraser, D., Moyes, R. B., Wells, P. B., and Riekel, C., *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1* **76**, 1585 (1980).
- 24. Vogdt, C., Butz, T., Lerf, A., and Knözinger, H., *Polyhedron* 5, 95 (1986).
- 25. Polz, J., Zeilinger, H., Müller, B., and Knözinger, H., *J. Catal.* 120, 22 (1989).
- 26. Jalowiecki, L., Grimblot, J., and Bonnelle, J. P., *J. Catal*. **126**, 101 (1990).
- 27. Komatsu, T., and Hall, W. K., *J. Phys. Chem*. **95**, 9966 (1991).
- 28. McGarvey, G. B., and Kasztelan, S., *J. Catal*. **148**, 149 (1994).
- 29. Sampson, C., Thomas, J. M., Vasudevan, S., and Wright, C. J., *Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg*. **90**, 1215 (1981).
- 30. Anderson, A. B., Al-Saigh, Z. Y., and Hall, W. K., *J. Phys. Chem*. **92**, 803 (1988).
- 31. Badger, E. H. M., Griffith, R. H., and Newling, W. B. S., *Proc. R. Soc. London* 184 (1949).
- 32. Solar, J. M., Derbyshire, F. J., De Beer, V. H. J., and Radovic, L. R., *J. Catal*. **129**, 330 (1991).
- 33. Vissers, J. P. R., Ph.D. thesis, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, 1985.
- 34. Thomas, C., Vivier, L., Lemberton, J. L., Kasztelan, S., and Pérot, G., *J. Catal*. **167**, 1 (1997).
- 35. Thomas, C., Vivier, L., Travert, A., Maugé, F., Kasztelan, S., and Pérot, G., *J. Catal*. **179**, 495 (1998).
- 36. Vissenberg, Ph.D. thesis, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven (1999).
- 37. Bouwens, S. M. A. M., Van Veen, J. A. R., Koningsberger, D. C., De Beer, V. H. J., and Prins, R., *J. Phys. Chem*. **95**, 123 (1991).
- 38. Topsøe, H., Clausen, B. S., Topsøe, N.-Y., and Zeuthen, P., *Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal*. **53**, 77 (1990).
- 39. Leliveld, B. R. G., Van Dillen, J. A. J., Geus, J. W., Koningsberger, D. C., and De Boer, M., *J. Phys. Chem*. **101**, 11160 (1997).
- 40. Neurock, M., and Van Santen, R. A., *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **116**, 4427 (1994).
- 41. Curtis, M.D., and Druker, S. H., *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **119**, 1027 (1997).
- 42. Bianchi, D., Maret, D., Pajonk, G. M., and Teichner, S. J., *in* "Spillover of Adsorbed Species" (G. M. Pajonk, S. J. Teichner, and J. E. Germain, Eds.), p. 45. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1983.
- 43. Stumbo, A. M., Grange, P., and Delmon, B., *in* "Spillover and Migration of Surface Species on Catalysts" (C. Li and Q. Xin, Eds.), p. 211. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1997.
- 44. Nakamura, I., Iwamoto, R., and I-ino, A., *in* "New Aspects of Spillover Effect in Catalysis" (T. Inui, K. Fujimoto, T. Uchijima, and M. Masai, Eds.), p. 77. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1993.
- 45. Topsøe, N.-Y., and Topsøe, H., *J. Catal*. **139**, 641 (1993).
- 46. Lipsch, J. M. J. G., and Schuit, G. C. A., *J. Catal*. **15**, 179 (1969).
- 47. Kasztelan, S., and Guillaume, D., *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **33**, 203 (1994).
- 48. Vasudevan, S., Thomas, J. M., Wright, C. J., and Sampson, C., *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun*. 418 (1982).
- 49. Goodenough, J. B., *in* "Proceedings, 4th International Conference on Chemistry and Uses of Molybdenum" (H. F. Barry and P. C. H. Mitchell, Eds.), p. 1. Climax Molybdenum Co., Golden, CO, 1982.
- 50. Kasztelan, S., Toulhouat, H., Grimblot, J., and Bonnelle, J. P., *Appl. Catal*. **13**, 127 (1987).